

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/821,178	BIRRU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chuong D Ngo	2124	

All Participants:

(1) Chuong D Ngo.

(2) Brooks Taylor.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

The rejection under 35 U.S.C 102(b)

Claims discussed:

1,6,11 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

Masumoto (4,638,058)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed examiner amendments to claims 1,6,11 and 16 to make the claimed invention distinguish from Masumoto, and applicant's representative agreed. See the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)